UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,616	10/06/2005	Esko Pulkka	HEIN-067 6303	
20374 KUBOVCIK &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1105	CLARK STREET	HARRISON, NICOLE K		
ARLINGTON,	·-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/552,616		PULKKA, ESKO		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		NICOLE HAR		1794		
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	ATE of this communication a	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be avafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specification Failure to reply within the set	UTORY PERIOD FOR REP BER, FROM THE MAILING I ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 he mailing date of this communication. ied above, the maximum statutory perio or extended period for reply will, by statu- ce later than three months after the mail it. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, I d will apply and will ex ute, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FIN</b> 3)□ Since this applica	ommunication(s) filed on <u>17.</u> IAL. 2b) ☐ The ation is in condition for allow ance with the practice under	nis action is non- ance except for	formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) i 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) i	rejected.					
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) fil  Applicant may not  Replacement draw	is objected to by the Examired on is/are: a) acrequest that any objection to thing sheet(s) including the corregration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b)  e drawing(s) be hection is required i	eld in abeyance. Seef the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pa 3) Information Disclosure Sta Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te		

Art Unit: 1794

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Examiner acknowledges the amendment to claim 4, the withdrawal of claims 1-3 and 5-10, and the cancellation of claim 11.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/17/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument based on the new amended claims, argues that Yee (US 5,171,623) claims depressions with a depth smaller than the width and the applicant claims pit-like profiles in the shape of a spherical calotte. Both references claim pit-like profiles and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the shape of the pit-like profiles in order to reduce friction.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Art Unit: 1794

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yee (US Patent No. 5,171,623).

Yee discloses a body, such as an airplane, having reduced fluid friction in contact with air or water and has a plurality of symmetrical depressions (pit-like profiles) on the entire surface of the body (abstract, Fig. 1). It is known to someone skilled in the art that fluid friction is a form of kinetic friction.

Yee discloses that the depressions have a width that is 20 to 30 times the depth of the depressions (col.4, lines 24-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use another shape similar to that of the depression to reduce friction on the surface of the body, since it has been held that the configuration was a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration claimed was significant. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

#### Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1794

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICOLE HARRISON whose telephone number is (571) 270-3741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9 am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

NΗ